

Levi factors of linear algebraic groups

AMS Western Sectional Meeting UCRiverside

George McNinch (Tufts University)

2024-10-27

Levi factors

- k : field
- G : linear algebraic group $/k$.
(i.e. *smooth affine group scheme over k*)
- we make the following **assumption (R)** :
The unipotent radical U of G is defined and split over k .
- (R) is always valid if k is *perfect* but can fail in general.
- A **Levi factor of G** is a k -subgroup M of G such that $\pi|_M : M \rightarrow G/U$ is an isomorphism, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/U$ is the quotient map.
- If k has char. 0, G always has a Levi factor. (*Mostow*)

non-existence of Levi factors

- Suppose k has char. $p > 0$
- let M be reductive $/k$, let V a linear rep of M and let $\alpha \in H^2(M, V)$.
- α determines a SES

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow G_\alpha \rightarrow M \rightarrow 1$$

which is not split whenever $\alpha \neq 0$

in particular, if $\alpha \neq 0$ then G_α has no Levi factor.

- since k has char. $p > 0$, there are many representations with non-vanishing H^2

Descent of Levi factors

- Let ℓ be a finite separable extension of k
- Question: If G_ℓ has a Levi factor (“over ℓ ”), does G has a Levi factor (“over k ”)?
- partial answer:

Theorem (McNinch 2013)

Suppose ℓ is Galois over k with $\gcd(p, [\ell : k]) = 1$. If G_ℓ has a Levi factor, then G has a Levi factor.

Linear actions

- Let the vector group U be an M -group. The action of M on U is **linear** provided that there is an equivariant isomorphism of algebraic groups $U \simeq \text{Lie}(U)$.

Proposition

If the unipotent radical U of G is a vector group with linear action of G , then G_ℓ has a Levi factor $\implies G$ has a Levi factor.

Failure of descent of Levi factors

- suppose $p \neq 2$
- Let H be the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow H \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a \times \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow 0$$

defined by the cocycle $(v, w) \mapsto \beta(v, w)^p - \beta(v, w)$ where $\beta : \mathbf{G}_a \times \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a$ is a non-degenerate alternating form.

- for $t \in k$ let

$$V_t = \langle (t, 0), (0, 1) \rangle \subset (\mathbf{G}_a \times \mathbf{G}_a)(k)$$

so that $V_t \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.

- consider the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow \mu_t \rightarrow V_t \rightarrow 0$$

determined by the alternating form β .

Failure of descent, continued

- setting $E_t = \mu_t \times_{\mathbf{G}_a} H$ we find an extension

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E_t \rightarrow V_t \rightarrow 0 \quad (0.1)$$

- the extension Equation (0.1) is split \iff
 $F(X) = X^p - X - t \in k[X]$ is reducible over k .
- In particular if $F(X) = X^p - X - t$ is irreducible and α is a root, set $\ell = k(\alpha)$. Then E_t has no Levi factor, but $E_{t,\ell}$ has a Levi factor.
- see [1] for more details, and see also [3] for a similar construction where H is replaced by a commutative connected unipotent group of exponent p^2 .
- **On the other hand**, I am not currently aware of any **connected** group G satisfying **(R)** such that G has no Levi factor but G_ℓ has a Levi factor.

Non-abelian cohomology

- if U is an M -group a *1-cocycle* on M with values in U is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow U$ satisfying

$$f(xy) = f(x) \cdot {}^x f(y)$$

- The 1-cocycles f, g are *cohomologous* – written $f \sim g$ – if there is $u \in U(k)$ such that

$$f(x) = u^{-1} \cdot g(x) \cdot {}^x u$$

- Write $H^1(M, U) = \text{1-cocycles} / \sim$ for the resulting *first cohomology set*.

Non-abelian cohomology and semidirect products

- Let

$$1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 1$$

be an extension.

- Write $\text{Sect}(G \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ for the $U(k)$ -orbits of homoms $M \rightarrow G$ which are sections to π . i.e. two such homomorphism are equiv if

$$s \sim s' \iff \exists u \in U(k) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad s = us'u^{-1}$$

Proposition

If there is a homomorphism which is a section $s_0 : M \rightarrow G$ to π , there is a bijection

$$H^1(G, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sect}(G \xrightarrow{\pi} M).$$

- of course, existence of the section s_0 as in the previous Proposition means that $G = M \rtimes U$ is a semidirect product.

Main result

- Suppose that G satisfies condition (R) .

Theorem (McNinch 2024)

Let ℓ a finite separable extension of k , and assume the following:

- (a) G_ℓ has a Levi factor
- (b) $U_\ell^{M_\ell} = 1$.
- (c) $H^1(M_\ell, U_\ell) = 1$.

Then G has a Levi factor.

Remarks on the proof

- The proof of the Theorem uses both the non-abelian cohomology set $H^1(M_\ell, U_\ell) = 1$ and the Galois cohomology set $H^1(k, U)$.
- Since U is a split unipotent group (by assumption (R)), $H^1(k, U) = 1$.
- in giving the proof, may suppose ℓ is Galois over k ; write $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\ell/k)$.
- Let $s_0 : M_\ell \rightarrow G_\ell$ is a fixed section and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $H^1(M_\ell, U_\ell) = 1$ we know

$$\gamma s_0 = u_\gamma^{-1} \cdot s_0 \cdot u_\gamma$$

for some $u_\gamma \in U(\ell)$

Remarks on the proof

- Now argue using hypothesis (b) that $\gamma \mapsto u_\gamma$ is a Galois 1-cocycle. Since $H^1(k, U)$ is trivial, there is $u \in U(k)$ such that

$$\gamma u = u \cdot u_\gamma.$$

- Now $s = u \cdot s_0 \cdot u^{-1}$ is a section with $\gamma s = s$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus s is defined over k .

Linear filtrations

Definition

A filtration

$$1 = U_0 \subset U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \cdots \subset U_{m-1} \subset U_m = U$$

by G -invariant closed subgroups U_i of U is a *central linear filtration* for the action of G if

- (a) U_{i+1}/U_i is a vector group with linear G action for each i , and
- (b) U_{i+1}/U_i is central in U/U_i for each i .

Theorem (McNinch 2014)

Assume R holds for G . If G is connected, there is a central linear filtration of U for the action of G .

Linear actions (continued)

Corollary (McNinch 2024)

Assume that U has a central linear filtration for the action of G and suppose the following:

- (a) G_ℓ has a Levi decomposition (over ℓ),*
- (bb) the group scheme $(U_{i+1}/U_i)^M$ is trivial for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$, and*
- (cc) $H^1(M, U_{i+1}/U_i) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$.*

Then G has a Levi decomposition.

Why the interest in descent of Levi factors?

Origin of interest: I wanted info on Levi factors of special fibers of parahoric group schemes. Here's an example:

- Let \mathcal{A} be a complete DVR with fractions K and residue field $k = \mathcal{A}/\pi\mathcal{A}$, and let L be a ramified separable quadratic extension of K . Assume that the residue char. p is $\neq 2$.
- Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional L -vector space equipped with a quasi-split hermitian form h over K , and let $G = \mathrm{SU}(V, h)$ be the corresponding unitary group. G is a linear algebraic group over K and $G_L \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{2n, L}$.
- Write \mathcal{B} for the integral closure of \mathcal{A} in L . Notice that $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} k \simeq k[\epsilon] = k[E]/\langle E^2 \rangle$.

Why the interest ... ? (continued)

- a suitable choice of \mathcal{B} -lattice \mathcal{L} in V determines an \mathcal{A} -group scheme \mathcal{P} with the following properties:
- \mathcal{P}_K identifies with G .
- \mathcal{P}_k is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(M) \rightarrow 1$$

where U is a vector group with linear action of $\mathrm{Sp}(M)$

- in fact U is the unique $\mathrm{Sp}(M)$ -invariant subspace of $\bigwedge^2 M$ of codimension 1.
- \mathcal{P}_k has a Levi decomposition.
- Assume $\dim M = \dim V \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $H^1(\mathrm{Sp}(M), U) \neq 0$, so that \mathcal{P}_k has non-conjugate Levi factors.

Bibliography

- [1] George McNinch. “Levi decompositions of linear algebraic groups and non-abelian cohomology”. In: *Pacific J. Math (special issue in memory of Gary Seitz)* (2024). to appear.
- [2] George McNinch. “Linearity for Actions on Vector Groups”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 397 (2014), pp. 666–688. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.08.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.08.030).
- [3] George McNinch. “On the Descent of Levi Factors”. In: *Archiv der Mathematik* 100.1 (2013), pp. 7–24. DOI: [10.1007/s00013-012-0467-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-012-0467-y).